THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.22531 OF 2003

DATED 24-01-2006

Between:

The Shahjahan College of Business Management
run by the Al-Madina Munnawara Education Society,
at 3-5-782/B, 1st Floor, King Koti, Hyderabad, A.P.,
rep. by its Secretary Sri Ahmeduddin.

....Petitioner

And

All India Council for Technical Education,
Indira Gandhi Sports Complex, New Delhi,
Represented by its Member Secretary, New Delhi
And another.

....Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.22531 OF 2003

ORDER:

The petitioner, Shahjahan College of Business Management run by the Al-Madina Munnawara Education Society, an institution running Masters Course in Business Administration (MBA), filed this writ petition questioning the action of the first respondent-AICTE, instructing the petitioner-College to shift its premises to a

permanent site from the present location at

3-5-782/B, 1st Floor, King Kothi, Hyderabad.

The petitioner-College was granted approval to conduct Masters Course in Business Management (MBA), a two years full time programme, with an annual intake of 40 seats. Initially, the said approval permitted the petitioner to run college on the premises bearing No. 3-5-782/B, 1st Floor, King Kothi, Hyderabad. From time to time, the approval was extended. Ultimately, when instructions were issued to shift the premises to a permanent site, this writ petition is filed.

Though the writ petition is of the year 2002, no counter-affidavit is filed.

Today, when the matter has come up hearing, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner-College that he is not pressing for the reliefs which he sought for in the writ petition and requested for issuance of a direction to the first respondent to consider its representation dated 01-12-2005 wherein request was made to restore the intake capacity to 40 students into the Course, withdraw the orders to shift the college premises from

3-5-782/B, 1st Floor, King Kothi, Hyderabad and increase the intake capacity from 40 to 60.

On the other hand, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the respondents that initially the approval is temporary subject to condition of shifting the premises to a permanent building within the specified time.

Having regard to the fact that the petitioner, for the purpose of restoring the intake capacity, withdrawing the orders for shifting of the College and for increasing the intake capacity, filed a representation dated 01-12-2005 before the first respondent-AICTE and also in view of the fact that the petitioner is not pressing the reliefs sought for in the writ petition, confining the relief only for disposal of the representation, I dispose of this writ petition directing the first respondent-AICTE to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 01-12-2005 and pass appropriate orders and communicate the same to the petitioner. As there is no proof of filing the said representation by the petitioner before the first respondent, the petitioner is directed to submit a copy of the representation dated 01-12-2005 within three weeks from today. On filing such representation, the first respondent shall consider and pass appropriate orders and communicate the same to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

24-01-2006.

Lrkm.

Note:

Office to dispatch the order

In 4 days.(B/o)